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## Why is Auditory Access / Listening Magic?

- Language is learned by listening

- If children do not hear, they will not learn spoken language
- If they do not hear language, they will not learn phonics
- If they do not learn phonics, they will not develop good literacy skills
- Learning language requires hearing speech
- Language is magic


## Audiology as the Foundation

- Hearing is the foundation of listening and spoken language development
- Audiology is the foundation for understanding hearing and listening
- Children need to use listening to learn spoken language
- Appropriately fit technology is the only way to assure that children with HL can use audition to learn
- Without appropriately fit technology
- Brain development will be compromised
- Development and use of spoken language will be compromised
- Academics, literacy, and social skills will be compromised
- Understanding speech acoustics is critical for fitting technology appropriately and for planning therapy


## Hearing = Auditory Access to the Brain

■ Hearing is a first-order event for the development of spoken communication and literacy skills

- Anytime the word "hearing" is used, think "auditory brain development"!!

■ Auditory accessibility of intelligible speech is essential for brain growth

■ Signal-to-Noise Ratio is the key to hearing intelligible speech

## Impact of Untreated HL on Children by Degree of Loss



## Audiologists' Role in Building the Foundation

- Audiologists are primarily responsible for evaluation, fitting and adjusting, and monitoring technology
- All clinicians working with children and families need to understand audiology and speech acoustics so they can monitor children's performance


## AUDITORY ACCESS TO THE BRAIN \#1:

Audiological Testing Must Be Thorough and Accurate

## Auditory Access to the Brain \#1: Audiological Testing Must Be Thorough and Accurate

- Auditory access is the biggest problem worldwide--for all degrees of hearing loss!
- Audiological testing must be accurate and complete
- If audiological testing is not accurate or not complete, we cannot ensure that any intervention will be successful


## Meet Child A



SAT Right $=10 \mathrm{dBHL} /$ SAT Left $=25 \mathrm{dBHL}$

- Failed OAE NBHS in one ear
- Failed follow-up ABR screen

■ "Inconsistent" diagnostic results

- Sometimes present OAEs
- Inconsistent ABRs
- "Fatigued" to VRA
- At age 1, determined to have otitis media
- Bilateral PE tubes placed
- Parents reported child responded to sound

■ Not talking at age 2

- Obtained this audiogram
- Diagnosed with ANSD
- Fitted with HAs with mild amplification because of tolerance issues from ANSD


## Meet Child A



- Age 4, child mainstreamed in community preschool
- Poor voice quality, articulation, and spoken language development despite "hearing"

■ "Not developing to potential" because of ANSD

- RX: Enroll in TC program and discontinue amplification??
- Family sought $4^{\text {th }}$ opinion
- Audiogram obtained


## Meet Child A

- Speech perception testing completed

|  | Unaided SRT | Aided SRT | Unaided NU- <br> Chips @ 95dB <br> (open set) | Aided NU- <br> Chips @ 50dB <br> (open set) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Right ear | 55 dB | 50 dB | $36 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Left ear | 60 dB | 60 dB | $28 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

- Imaging completed
- Bilateral cochlear malformations
- Child does NOT have ANSD

■ Bilateral cochlear implantation recommended ASAP

## When Early Intervention is Not Easy . . .

■ We must aggressively manage these patients!!

- Audiologists must continue to test and reconcile inconsistent findings
- ENTs must consider genetic testing and imaging
- AVTs, SLPs, and TODs must carefully monitor and communicate auditory, speech, and language progress or lack of progress
- All professionals must listen to parent input
- If results are inconsistent and the child is not progressing as expected - and everyone has very high expectations - then the results are not adequate or sufficient


## AUDITORY ACCESS TO THE BRAIN \#2: All Day, Every Day

## Auditory Access to the Brain \#2: All Day, Every Day

- Auditory access is the biggest problem worldwide--for all degrees of hearing loss!
- Technology must be worn all waking hours to be effective
- Eyes open; ears on!


## The Developing Brain

- At birth, a child's brain has about 100 billion neurons.
- In addition, they have multiple synapses
- Synapses are not developed at birth
- With appropriate stimulation, a child's brain develops 700-1000 new connections or synapses every second
- Every time we talk to a child, make them laugh, make eye contact, we grow their brain
- Early years are most critical for this development
- As children grow, the brain starts pruning unused synapses


## How Much Practice is Needed to Develop the Necessary Complex Neural Structure?

■ Malcolm Gladwell: 10,000 hours of practice
■ Hart and Risley: 46 million words heard by age 4

- Dehaene: 20,000 hours of listening as a basis for reading
- Pittman: Children with hearing loss require three times the exposure to learn new words and concepts due to the reduced acoustic bandwidth caused by the hearing loss
- Children with cochlear implants can develop speech and language skills similar to their typical hearing peers


## The Benefits of Full-time Technology Use

■ Ryan McCreery, Bruce Tomblin, Melody Harrison, et al (2015)

- Children fit with HAs before 6 months of age had better language abilities than children fit over 12 months
- Children who had more than 10 hrs/day HA use had more positive language trajectory

■ Jordan Holder, Renee Gifford (2021)

- Adults experienced an average of 7\% increase in speech perception scores for every hour of additional wear


## Appropriate Technology plus acoustic accessibility

## Enriched Auditory <br> Exposure



Madell and Hewitt

## But...

- Typically hearing children have access to sound 24 hours/day
- If a child wears technology 4 hours/day, it will take 6 years for that child to hear what it take a typically hearing child to hear in 1 year
- As early interventionists, we must assist families with technology retention plans


## Understand Typical Development and Assist Parents with Technology Retention Plans

- Professional and caregiver understanding typical development is essential:
- Accidental exploration (4 - 7 months):
- Explores body and objects with hands and mouth
- Thorough investigation (6-12 months):
- Thorough investigation of objects by mouthing, shaking, banging, transferring from hand to hand

- Review data logging regularly with caregivers


## Anderson and Madell, 2013 Available at SuccessforKidswithHearingLoss.com



## Available Hours of Listening by Age

| Age | Hours per day |
| :--- | :---: |
| Under 3 months | 9.4 hours |
| 3 months | 10.4 hours |
| 6 months | 11.1 hours |
| 9 months | 11.4 hours |
| 12 months | 11.1 hours |
| 2 years | 12 hours |
| 3 years | 12.5 hours |

## Meet Child B

- 26-month-old male
- Comes for audiological evaluation
- Not wearing technology
- Audiologist asks mom where technology is
- Mom removes HAs from purse
- Audiologist asks mom to put HAs on
- Mom tries, but is clearly uncomfortable doing it
- Child resists, cries, swings arms
- Mom stops and reaches into purse for a very large chocolate covered donut


## Auditory Access to the Brain

■ Auditory access is the biggest problem worldwide--for all degrees of hearing loss!

- Technology often is not programmed to today's possibilities

■ If a technology is not appropriately programmed, the child cannot use hearing to develop speech perception skills
■ Technology must be checked daily to be sure it is functioning optimally

- Close enough is not good enough here.
- If the child is not progressing as expected - and everyone has very high expectations - suspect the technology/acoustic accessibility first


## AUDITORY ACCESS TO THE BRAIN \#3:

Access to the Entire Speech Spectrum

## Auditory Access to the Brain: Access to the Entire Speech Spectrum

- The child needs to hear throughout the frequency range
- Low frequencies contain 90\% of the power of speech, but only $10 \%$ of the intelligibility
- High frequencies contain only 10\% of the power of speech, but $90 \%$ of the intelligibility
- 6000 and 8000 Hz really do matter
- Missing high frequencies results in missing grammatical markers for pluralization, possessives, and missing non-salient morphemes (eg: morphemes that are not stressed during conversation like prepositions)

SII Count-the-Dots Audiogram Form


Mueller and Killion, 2010

## Auditory Access to the Brain: Access to the Entire Speech Spectrum

- Ryan McCreery, Bruce Tomblin, Melody Harrison, et al
- Children with poorer 4K thresholds had poorer LNT and CASPA scores
- Consider technology carefully:
- Type and level of hearing aid can affect speech spectrum access
- One pediatric hearing aid has a high frequency cutoff at 5000 Hz to 8000 Hz depending upon the model purchased
■ How can we evaluate access to the speech spectrum?
- Real ear measurements to ensure appropriate frequency range reaches the TM
- Sound field thresholds to warble tones or narrow band noise
- LMH Testing


## Brief Pitstop:



The LMH Test (Ling-Madell- Hewitt or
Low-Mid-High)
Madell and Hewitt, 2021

## What led us to the LMH?

- As number of Cl patients increased, trends became apparent
- Some patients were superstars; some were not
- Blame was placed on:
- CI: "Cls just don't work for everyone."
- Child: Circumstances surrounding implantation, oral motor issues, apraxia, other issues
- Parents: "You just need to do more."

■ One common thread was evident in many, many cases:

- Children who did not do well could not hear well.


## What led us to the LMH?

- We began to see distinct patterns emerging from Ling reports
- Have all the Lings
- Superstar patients
- Struggling patients
- Have some of the Lings
- Struggling patients
- Patients with little to no progress
- Don't have any Lings; can't move forward
- Patients with little to no progress
- Clearly, responses to Lings were not sufficient to see what was happening "below the surface"


## What led us to the LMH?

■ We began a retrospective study of 230+ subjects' phoneme perception errors before and after programming

- What we already knew:
- Lings appeared to be overused
- Used as a "curriculum"
- Used well beyond applicable ages
- Memorized by children
- Seen as boring by children


## What led us to the LMH?

■ We began a retrospective study of 230+ subjects' phoneme perception errors before and after programming

- What we already found:
- Finding \#1: Responses to Lings did NOT predict speech perception of all phonemes
- Finding \#2: Multiple errors on Lings indicated SIGNIFICANT, global speech perception errors
- Finding \#3: Lings did NOT identify most common perception errors


## Most common speech perception errors with Cl

Lochner L , Hewitt, J, Madell, J. "Analysis of Common Speech Perception Errors Prior to Cochlear Implant MAPping and Successful, Remedial Programming Changes."

| Specific Articulation Error | \% of Occurrence | \% of Correction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| /z/ heard as /m/ | $69 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| /ch/ heard as /sh/ or /t/ | $67 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| /s/ omitted, distorted, or heard as /sh/ | $42 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| m/n confusion | $41 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Omission of /b/ | $36 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| /p/ heard as /h/ or omitted | $29 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| /sh/ heard as /s/ | $21 \%$ | $91 \%$ |



## What specifically was missing from the LING test??

## Formant / Frequency Bands of Lings Compared with Consonant Bands

| Ling Sound | $\begin{gathered} \text { Band 1 } \\ 200-1000 \mathrm{~Hz} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Band } 2 \\ 1000-1500 \mathrm{~Hz} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Band } 4 \\ 3500 \mathrm{~Hz}+ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /00/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F1: } 300 \mathrm{~Hz} \\ & \text { F2: } 870 \mathrm{~Hz} \end{aligned}$ |  | F3: 2240 Hz |  |
| /a/ | F1: 730 Hz | F2: 1090 Hz | F3: 2440 Hz |  |
| /ee/ | F1: 270 Hz |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F2: } 2290 \mathrm{~Hz} \\ & \text { F3: } 3010 \mathrm{~Hz} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| /m/ | $250-350 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | $1000-1500 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | 2500-3500Hz |  |
| /sh/ | - |  | 1500-2000Hz | $4500-5500 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| /s/ | Voicing | Differentiation |  | $5000-6000 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Frication |

## Why Mid-Frequencies are Crucial to Speech Perception

- Low freqs $=90 \%$ of power / 10\% of intelligibility
- High freqs $=90 \%$ of intelligibility $/ 10 \%$ of power
- Mid freqs = differentiation of voicing, manner, place



## Lings provide limited info about perception of consonant differences

| Consonant Energy Bands |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bands |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Manner | Voiced | Voiceless | 200-800 | 1000-1500 | 1500-3500 | $3500+$ |
| Plosives | b |  | 300-400 | $\cdots$ | 2000-2500 |  |
|  | d |  | 300-400 | - | 2500-3000 |  |
|  | g |  | 200-300 |  | 1500-2500 |  |
|  |  | p |  |  | 1500-2000 |  |
|  |  | t |  |  | 2500-3500 |  |
|  |  | k |  |  | 2000-2500 |  |
| Nasals | m |  | 250-350 | 1000-1500 | 2500-3500 |  |
|  | n |  | 250-350 | 1000-1500 | 2000-3000 |  |
|  | $\eta$ |  | 250-400 | 1000-1500 | 2000-3000 |  |
| Fricatives | v |  | 300-400 |  |  | 3.500-4500 |
|  | z |  | 200-300 |  |  | 4,00-5000 |
|  | 3 |  | 200-300 |  |  | 4,00-4500 |
|  | $\delta$ |  | 250-350 |  |  | 4500-6000 |
|  |  | h |  |  | 1500-2000 |  |
|  |  | f |  |  |  | 4000-5000 |
|  |  | s |  |  |  | 5000-6000 |
|  |  | J |  |  | 1500-2000 | 4500-5500 |
|  |  | $\theta$ |  |  |  | $\sim 6000$ |
| Affricates |  | $t \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | 1500-2000/ | 4000-5000 |
|  | d3 |  | 200-300 | - | 2000-3000 |  |
| Liquids | r |  | 600-800 | 1200-1500 | 1800-2400 |  |
|  | 1 |  | 250-400 |  | 2000-7000 |  |

## Our LMH Additions: Phoneme \#1/n/

| Consonant Energy Bands |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bands |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Manner | Voiced | Voiceless | 200-800 | 1000-1500 | 1500-3500 | $3500+$ |
| Plosives | b |  | 300-400 |  | 2000-2500 |  |
|  | d |  | 300-400 |  | 2500-3000 |  |
|  | g |  | 200-300 |  | 1500-2500 |  |
|  |  | p |  |  | 1500-2000 |  |
|  |  | t |  |  | 2500-3500 |  |
|  |  | k |  |  | 2000-2500 |  |
|  | m |  | 250-350 | 4000-4500 | 2500-3500 |  |
| Nasals | n |  | 250-350 | 1000-1500 | 2000-3000 |  |
|  | 1 |  | 250-400 | 4000-4500 | 2000-3000 |  |
| Fricatives | v |  | 300-400 |  |  | 3500-4500 |
|  | z |  | 200-300 |  |  | 4000-5000 |
|  | 3 |  | 200-300 |  |  | 4000-4500 |
|  | $\delta$ |  | 250-350 |  |  | 4500-6000 |
|  |  | h |  |  | 1500-2000 |  |
|  |  | f |  |  |  | 4000-5000 |
|  |  | s |  |  |  | 5000-6000 |
|  |  | $\int$ |  |  | 1500-2000 | 4500-5500 |
|  |  | $\theta$ |  |  |  | ~6000 |
| Affricates |  | t |  |  | 1500-2000 | 4000-5000 |
|  | d3 |  | 200-300 |  | 2000-3000 |  |
| Liquids | r |  | 600-800 | 1000-1500 | 1800-2400 |  |
|  | 1 |  | 250-400 |  | 2000-3000 |  |

## Our LMH Additions: Phoneme \#2 /h/

| Consonant Energy Bands |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bands |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Manner | Voiced | Voiceless | 200-800 | 1000-1500 | 1500-3500 | $3500+$ |
| Plosives | b |  | 300-400 |  | 2000-2500 |  |
|  | d |  | 300-400 |  | 2500-3000 |  |
|  | g |  | 200-300 |  | 1500-2500 |  |
|  |  | p |  |  | 1500-2000 |  |
|  |  | t |  |  | 2500-3500 |  |
|  |  | k |  |  | 2000-2500 |  |
| Nasals | m |  | 250-350 | 1000-1500 | 2500-3500 |  |
|  | n |  | 250-350 | 1000-1500 | 2000-3000 |  |
|  | $\eta$ |  | 250-400 | 1000-1500 | 2000-3000 |  |
| Frimatives | v |  | 300-400 |  |  | 3500-4500 |
|  | $z$ |  | 200-300 |  |  | 4000-5000 |
|  | 3 |  | 200-300 |  |  | 4000-4500 |
|  | $\delta$ |  | 250350 |  |  | 4500-6000 |
|  |  | h |  |  | 1500-2000 |  |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4000-5000 |
|  |  | s |  |  |  | 5000-6000 |
|  |  | J |  |  | 1500-2000 | 4500-5500 |
|  |  | $\theta$ |  |  |  | $\sim 6000$ |
| Affricates |  | tic |  |  | 1500-2000 | 4000-5000 |
|  | d3 |  | 200-300 |  | 2000-3000 |  |
| Liquids | $r$ |  | 600-800 | 1000-1500 | 1800-2400 |  |
|  | 1 |  | 250-400 |  | 2000-3000 |  |

## Our LMH Additions: Heavy Weight Phoneme \#3 /d3/

| Consonant Energy Bands |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bands |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Manner | Voiced | Voiceless | 200-800 | 1000-1500 | 1500-3500 | 3500 + |
| Plosives | b |  | 300-400 |  | 2000-2500 |  |
|  | d |  | 300-400 |  | 2500-3000 |  |
|  | g |  | 200-300 |  | 1500-2500 |  |
|  |  | p |  |  | 1500-2000 |  |
|  |  | t |  |  | 2500-3500 |  |
|  |  | k |  |  | 2000-2500 |  |
| Nasals | m |  | 250-350 | 1000-1500 | 2500-3500 |  |
|  | n |  | 250-350 | 1000-1500 | 2000-3000 |  |
|  | $\eta$ |  | 250-400 | 1000-1500 | 2000-3000 |  |
| Fricatives | v |  | 300-400 |  |  | 3500-4500 |
|  | z |  | 200-300 |  |  | 4000-5000 |
|  | 3 |  | 200-300 |  |  | 4000-4500 |
|  | $\delta$ |  | 250-350 |  |  | 4500-6000 |
|  |  | h |  |  | 1500-2000 |  |
|  |  | f |  |  |  | 4000-5000 |
|  |  | S |  |  |  | 5000-6000 |
|  |  | $\int$ |  |  | 1500-2000 | 4500-5500 |
|  |  | $\theta$ |  |  |  | ~6000 |
| Affricatoc |  | $\pm$ |  |  | 1500-2000 | 4000-5000 |
|  | d3 |  | 200-300 |  | 2000-3000 |  |
| Liquids | 1 |  | 600-800 | 1000-1500 | 1000-2400 |  |
|  | 1 |  | 250-400 |  | 2000-3000 |  |

## Our LMH Additions: Heavy Weight Phoneme \#4 /z/

| Consonant Energy Bands |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bands |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Manner | Voiced | Voiceless | 200-800 | 1000-1500 | 1500-3500 | 3500 + |
| Plosives | b |  | 300-400 |  | 2000-2500 |  |
|  | d |  | 300-400 |  | 2500-3000 |  |
|  | g |  | 200-300 |  | 1500-2500 |  |
|  |  | p |  |  | 1500-2000 |  |
|  |  | t |  |  | 2500-3500 |  |
|  |  | k |  |  | 2000-2500 |  |
| Nasals | m |  | 250-350 | 1000-1500 | 2500-3500 |  |
|  | n |  | 250-350 | 1000-1500 | 2000-3000 |  |
|  | $\eta$ |  | 250-400 | 1000-1500 | 2000-3000 |  |
| Fricatives | $v$ |  | -00-400 |  |  | 3500-4500 |
|  | z |  | 200-300 |  |  | 4000-5000 |
|  | 3 |  | 200-300 |  |  | 4000-4500 |
|  | $\delta$ |  | 250-350 |  |  | 4500-6000 |
|  |  | h |  |  | 1500-2000 |  |
|  |  | f |  |  |  | 4000-5000 |
|  |  | s |  |  |  | 5000-6000 |
|  |  | J |  |  | 1500-2000 | 4500-5500 |
|  |  | $\theta$ |  |  |  | $\sim 6000$ |
| Affricates |  | t, |  |  | 1500-2000 | 4000-5000 |
|  | dz |  | 200-300 |  | 2000-3000 |  |
| Liquids | $r$ |  | 600-800 | 1000-1500 | 1800-2400 |  |
|  | 1 |  | 250-400 |  | 2000-3000 |  |

## Moreover, the LMH is a test battery!!

| Detection of LMH 10 Sounds |
| :---: |
| $\sqrt{3}$ |
| Identification of LMH 10 Sounds |
| $\checkmark$ |
| Imitation of LMH 10 Sounds |
| $\sqrt{\square}$ |
| Imitation of all phonemes in isolation |
| 5 |
| Imitation of all medial consonants (VCV) |

## Presentation of LMH Test Battery

- Detection:
- Sound repeated 3 times to minimize cues as noted on 10 Sound Quick Test
- Except: mmm / nnn
- Identification and Imitation:
- Sound repeated 3 times as noted on 10 Sound Quick Test
- All phonemes
- Sound repeated 3 times to minimize cues
- Voiced consonants have natural vowel
- Voiceless consonants have no vowel
- Medial consonants
- VCV combinations
- All are random presentation



## Identification



## Medial Consonant Test - English



Prueba de Consonantes Intermedias
Hoja de Puntuación

| Nombre: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fecha: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tecnología: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# | AFI | Palabra clave | 1 metro <br> a $\qquad$ a |  |  |  | 1 metro$\qquad$ |  |  |  | 3 metros$\qquad$ a |  |  | 3 metros$\qquad$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | D | 1 |  | A | D | 1 |  | A | D | 1 | A | D | 1 | A |
| 1 | b | bien |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | p | por |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | m | me |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | w | agua |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | x | juego |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | f | foco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | d | dos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | t | ten |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | n | no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | j | yo* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | $\wedge$ | cayó* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | J | Ilorar** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 5 | sol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | $\theta$ | cebra*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | k | casa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | g | gato |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | $\eta$ | chango |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | t 5 | chupón |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1 | Iupa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | n | Año |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | $r$ | Hora |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | $r$ | rata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# Correctas de 21/20**** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Puntuación de 21/20**** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Revisado por Mary D. McGinnis, 2020; Adaptado por Hinojosa, F. y Hernández, A., 2020
*En países yeístas será la misma pronunciación para las palabras escritas con grafía " $y$ " $y$ "Il". Algunas zonas de Bolivia, Ecuador, Paraguay, Argentina, Chile, entre otros, deberán hacer la diferenciación entre los sonidos $/ \mathrm{j} /$ y $/ N /$ (fila 10 y 11 ).
**Pronunciación de "II" para Argentina y Uruguay
${ }^{* * * * *}$ En países que realizan diferente pronunciación de palabras escritas con las grafías " $s$ " de aquellas escritas con " " $c$ " $y$ " $z$ " (Guinea Ecuatorial, gran parte de España)
${ }^{* * * *}$ La cantidad de respuestas correctas posibles dependerá de los sonidos producidos en la región de desarrollo del evaluado.

## Medial Consonants - Short List

- English consonants from low to high (presented randomly)
- Joan Hewitt's Short list
- /w/ __
- /n/ $\qquad$
- 11
- $/ m /$
- /r/
- $/ 8$
- $1 b /$
- $/ d /$
- $/ \mathrm{j} / \mathrm{K}_{1}$
- $/ \mathrm{V} /$
- $/ \mathrm{z} /$ —
- $/ \mathrm{h} /$ _
- $/ \mathrm{p} /{ }^{-}$
- $/ k /$
- $/ t /$ _
- /ch/ __
- /sh/ _
- $/ f /$
- /s/
- /th/ _
- Spanish consonants from low to high (presented randomly)
- /w/ __
- $/ \mathrm{n} /$ _
- $1 / 1 /$
- $/ m /$
- $/ \mathrm{r} /{ }^{-}$
- $18 /$
- $/ \mathrm{b} /$ _
- $/ d /$ _
- $/ \mathrm{j} /{ }^{2}$
- $/ \mathrm{z} /{ }^{2}$
- $/ \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{Z}$
- $/ \mathrm{p} /{ }^{-}$
- $/ k /$
- $/ t /{ }^{\prime}$
- /ch/ __
- /sh/ __
- /f/ __
- /s/ _


## LMH Notes

- Always test a variety of vowels AND consonants with beginning listeners
- Developmental progression varies!!
- Once differentiation of vowels is noted, focus on consonants
- Continued problems with vowels indicate global perception issues
- Time to test:
- ALL individual phonemes: 3-year-old with CHARGE and cochlear nerve deficiency repeating using toy
- Better ear: $1 \mathrm{~min}, 17$ secs
- Poorer ear: 2 mins, 12 secs
- ALL medial consonants:
- 3 elementary-aged children: Average of 30 seconds per ear


## LMH (Low-Mid-High or Ling-Madell-Hewitt) Test Battery



## AUDITORY ACCESS TO THE BRAIN \#4: Access to Soft Speech

## Auditory Access to the Brain: Access to Soft Speech

■ Auditory access is the biggest problem worldwide--for all degrees of hearing loss!

- Technology often is not programmed to today's possibilities

■ Children need to hear at normal and soft conversational levels, but soft is often overlooked and not assessed

■ Soft speech is about $30-35 \mathrm{dBHL}$
■ Soft speech = Speech at distances or quiet speech up close

- "Overhearing/incidental" is critical
- Over $80 \%$ of what infants and young children know about the world they learn incidentally


## Auditory Access to the Brain: Access to Soft Speech

■ If a child cannot hear soft speech, they will:

- Not hear peers in the classroom or on playground
- Not "overhear" conversation and will have limited incidental learning
- Have reduced language and literacy skills

■ Tomblin's (2011) research reported $40 \%$ of children fit with HAs were underfit

- But aided hearing at 0 dBHL is not the goal as it causes distortion

■ With Cls and HAs, soft speech can be adjusted independently

## The Audiology Fruit


design a 1990 by bob essman ano the new york league for the hafd of hearing

## Can We Call It the Speech String Bean?



## Normal and Soft Conversation

Soft
Conversation

Normal
conversation



|  | Right Ear | Left Ear |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Unaided SRT | 50 dB | 45 dB |
| Aided SRT | 40 dB | 35 dB |
| Aided WR @ 50dB | $72 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Aided WR @ 35dB | $0 \%$ | $8 \%$ |

## Meet Child C

- 2-year-old whose language was developing slowly
- Parenting / compliance issues?
- "We just don't see much difference with the HAs on."
- "He only listens when he wants to."
- After increasing access to soft speech:
- "He's saying so many things we didn't teach him!"


## AUDITORY ACCESS TO THE BRAIN \#5: <br> Access to Intelligible Speech in All Environments

## Auditory Access to the Brain: Access to Intelligible Speech in All Environments

■ Auditory access is the biggest problem worldwide--for all degrees of hearing loss!

- Technology often is not programmed to today's possibilities
- Children learn language by hearing it
- For this to happen, every sound must be audible
- The goal of technology is to provide access to intelligible speech and language information in quiet, in noise, up close, at a distance


## Speech String Bean with Consonants



## Speech String Bean with Consonants



## Audiograms

- What does the audiogram tell us?
- Degree and type of HL
- Quantity of loss, but not quality of loss
- Suggestions of type of technology needed
- Estimates of speech perception expectations
- What the audiogram does NOT tell us
- Speech perception skills
- Speech production
- Language development
- Non-auditory concerns


## Degree of Hearing Loss Does NOT Predict Level of Auditory Function

- NEVER ASSUME
- Evaluation of auditory perception is critical
- For words
- For phonemes
- For sentences
- In quiet and in noise


## What Do Speech Acoustics Tell Us?

- Speech acoustics can help
- Understand how children are receiving sounds of speech
- Understand a child's auditory perception
- Understand speech production
- Evaluate missing or distorted phonemes
- Ability to hear at different distances


## Audibility vs Intelligibility

■ Speech may be audible but not intelligible

- You can detect it, but not understand
- Intelligibility - you can tell the difference among words which can be very subtle
- A child may be able to hear the difference between sleep and sleeping (1 vs 2 syllables) but not discriminate between sleep and sleeps

Frequency in Hz


## Contribution of frequency to Speech Intelligibility Index (SII)

Fig. 19.5 The contribution of frequency to Speech Intelligibility Index (sil), represented by dots within the speech banana.

Boothroyd, in Madell et al 2019

## Technology Testing Recommendations

|  | $0-6$ <br> months | 6-12 <br> months | $\begin{gathered} 12-24 \\ \text { months } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24-36 } \\ & \text { months } \end{aligned}$ | $>36$ <br> months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RECD | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Cortical Responses | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Aided thresholds 500-8K | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| SAT - Ling Sounds | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| SRT |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Speech Perception 50 dBHL Quiet |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \checkmark \\ (\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~B}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sqrt{ } \\ (R, L, B) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sqrt{ } \\ (R, L, B) \end{gathered}$ |
| Speech Perception 35 dBHL Quiet |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \checkmark \\ (R, L, B) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \checkmark \\ (R, L, B) \end{gathered}$ |
| Speech Perception $50 \mathrm{dBHL}+5 \mathrm{SNR}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \checkmark \\ (R, L, B) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \checkmark \\ (R, L, B) \end{gathered}$ |
| Madell and Hewitt |  |  |  |  |  |



## SPEECH PERCEPTION TESTING RECOMMENDATIONS

## Selecting Test Materials

- What is the goal of the evaluation?
- To obtain the highest possible score?
- To compare child to peers?
- To monitor technology benefit?
- To monitor treatment?
- To identify specific speech perception errors?
- We believe it is to obtain the most realistic picture possible of how the child functions in everyday life


## Selecting Test Materials

- Linguistically appropriate
- Not too easy or too hard
- Appropriate level of complexity
- Sentences

■ Makes use of person's top-down skills - ability to "fill in the blanks"

- Not necessarily providing accurate measure of what the person hears
- For potential patients with more hearing, sentences may not be the appropriate test of choice for determining candidacy for Cl
- BUT for young children, may provide data about what is being processed in connected language
- Monosyllabic words
- More accurate measure of auditory perception
- Phoneme testing or phoneme scoring
- Most accurate measure of auditory perception
- Nonsense syllables
- Excellent measure of auditory perception


## Open Set vs Closed Set

- Closed set measures
- Limited set of response possibilities
- Useful for young children with limited vocabulary because they reduce the confounding variable of linguistic knowledge
- Useful for patients with articulation which is difficult to access
- May inflate performance or overestimate speech perception skills compared to real life
- Inappropriate use
- Open set measures
- More challenging test condition
- Stimulus possibilities are unlimited
- More representative of what the listener might encounter in everyday situation
- Very little kids can do this!


## Speech Test Protocols by Age

|  | $0-6$ months | $6-12$ months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12-18 } \\ & \text { months } \end{aligned}$ | $18-24$ months | $24-36$ months | $3-5$ <br> years | $6-8$ <br> years | 8+ years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAT/LMH | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| SRT |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| ESP | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| LMH | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| NU Chips |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| WIPI |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| PBK |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| NU-6 / CNC |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| HINT-C |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Ped AZ Bio |  |  |  |  |  | Age 5 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| BKB-SIN |  |  |  |  |  | Age 5 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| AZ Bio |  |  |  | Madell and Hewitt |  |  |  | 71 ل |

## Evaluating Test Scores

- Word scoring vs phoneme scoring
- Word scoring is a small part of the picture
- What exactly is the person misperceiving?
- High frequencies? - Which frequencies?

■ Vowels - Is technology providing enough lows?

- What is the confusion?
- Bed/bet
- Shoe/sue
- What can be done to change the response of the technology?
- Technology programming and then therapy should work on improving perception of the difficult to hear sounds


## Bodkin, Madell, and Wegman

|  |  |  |  | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition | CA | List | N | WR\% | SD | 95\% CI | N | WR\% | SD | 95\% CI |
| Quiet 50 dB | 3-5 | NU-C | 14 | 98 | 3.7 | 96-100 | 12 | 98 | 3.2 | 96-100 |
| Quiet 50 dB | 6-8 | PBK | 13 | 98 | 3.1 | 97-100 | 12 | 98 | 3.2 | 96-100 |
| Quiet 50 dB | 9+ | W-22 | 13 | 99 | 1.9 | 98-100 | 6 | 96 | 5.1 | 92-100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quiet 35 dB | 3-5 | NU-C | 19 | 95 | 5.2 | 92-97 | 13 | 96 | 4.8 | 93-98 |
| Quiet 35 dB | 6-8 | PBK | 23 | 97 | 3.7 | 95-98 | 24 | 98 | 3.1 | 97-99 |
| Quiet 35 dB | 9+ | W-22 | 17 | 98 | 2.8 | 97-100 | 9 | 96 | 4.2 | 93-98 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50 @+5$ SNR | 3-5 | NU-C | 28 | 93 | 4.6 | 91-95 | 16 | 94 | 4.1 | 92-96 |
| $50 @+5$ SNR | 6-8 | PBK | 13 | 94 | 4.5 | 92-96 | 25 | 95 | 5.1 | 93-97 |
| 50 @ + 5 SNR | 9+ | W-22 | 17 | 97 | 4.1 | 95-99 | 7 | 93 | 3.8 | 90-96 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50 @ 0$ SNR | 3-5 | NU-C | 23 | 91 | 6.9 | 88-94 | 17 | 92 | 6.5 | 89-95 |
| $50 @ 0$ SNR | 6-8 | PBK | 18 | 91 | 5.4 | 89-93 | 28 | 93 | 6.0 | 90-95 |
| 50 @ 0 SNR | 9+ | W-22 | 19 | 95 | 4.7 | 93-97 | 11 | 93 | 4.8 | 91-96 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 @ 0 SNR | 3-5 | NU-C | 23 | 90 | 6.1 | 87-93 | 16 | 92 | 6.0 | 89-94 |
| 35@0 SNR | 6-8 | PBK | 28 | 91 | 6.2 | 88-93 | 28 | 90 | 6.1 | 87-92 |
| $35 @ 0$ SNR | 9+ | W-22 | 18 | 91 | 6.2 | 88-94 | 11 | 90 | 7.0 | 86-94 |

Madell and Hewitt
witt

## SUGGESTED SCORING

- Excellent 90-100\%
- Good
- Fair

80-89\%
70-79\%

- Poor
< 70\%


## Meet Child D

- 5-year-old fully mainstreamed kindergartener
- Bilateral EVA
- HAs at 2 years; Right CI at 2.6 years
- Moved and reprogrammed at new center
- When time leave, he started to cry and said he couldn't hear
- SAT = 15dB - NU-Chips @ 50dBHL = 96\%

|  | 250 Hz | 500 H | 1000 Hz | 2000 Hz | 4000 Hz |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Right CI | 15 dB | 20 dB | 15 dB | 20 dB | 25 dB |


| Detection | $/ \mathrm{m} /$ | $/ \mathrm{a} /$ | $/ 00 /$ | $/ \mathrm{ee} /$ | $/ \mathrm{sh} /$ | $/ \mathrm{s} /$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Right Cl | 15 dB | 20 dB | 20 dB | 25 dB | 20 dB | 25 dB |

■ RX: Wait 3 weeks until he adapts

## Meet Child D

- 3 weeks later:
- Child continued to report he could not hear
- Reports of overly sensitive, disruptive, and chewing clothing and pencils

■ Returned to previous center

$$
-S R T=40 d B \quad-P B K @ 50 d B H L=40 \% \quad-P B K @ 35 d B H L=0 \%
$$

| Imitation | $/ \mathrm{m} /$ | $/ \mathrm{a} /$ | $/ 00 /$ | $/$ ee/ | $/ \mathrm{sh} /$ | $/ \mathrm{s} /$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Right Cl | 35 dB | 40 dB | 40 dB | 45 dB | 45 dB | 55 dB |

■ Reprogrammed
$-S R T=25 d B \quad-P B K @ 50 d B H L=80 \% \quad-P B K @ 35 d B H L=68 \%$
■ Audibility does NOT ensure intelligibility!


## LET'S <br> REVIEW

## Meet Child E

■ Unremarkable birth history, except for failing NBHS
■ Subsequent testing revealed:

- Absent OAEs
- ABR thresholds

■ Minimal / mild to moderate thresholds

- Referral to ENT for review and medical clearance for HAs

|  | 500 Hz | 1000 Hz | 2000 Hz | 4000 Hz |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Right | 30 dBnHL | 40 dBnHL | 40 dBnHL | 50 dBnHL |
| Left | 25 dBnHL | 35 dBnHL | 40 dBnHL | 45 dBnHL |

## Meet Child E

- ENT:
- Saw no otitis media or middle ear abnormalities
- Cleared patient for bilateral hearing aids
- Recommended no need for additional follow up with mild / moderate hearing loss
- Audiologist:
- Fit hearing aids at 3 months of age
- RX: Follow-up testing at 12, 18, and 24 months
■ DHH Auditory/verbal specialist:
- Saw child 2 hours per month in home


## Meet Child E

- At 24 months, parents concerned about:
- Lack of attachment to HAs
- Lack of a difference with HAs on
- Lack of progress in SL development

■ Audiologist: "child fatigues" and "difficult to test"

■ DHH specialist: "rate of progress is concerning" and "center not the
 best"

## LESSON \#1: WE ALL MUST BE THOROUGH AND ACCURATE!

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { LESSON \#2: } \\
\text { BRAINS SHOULD } \\
\text { WANT TECHNOLOGY } \\
\text { ALL DAY, EVERYDAY }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Meet Child E

■ $2^{\text {nd }}$ opinion center suggests:

- CPA: DHH, SLP, AVT, please teach this!!!!
- Testing every 6 months
- One ear at a time

■ Compilation audiogram (3 tests) completed at 3 years, 2 months of age

- $2^{\text {nd }}$ opinion center recommends increasing gain on hearing aids and using FM / DM
- Child begins combining words and using some familiar simple sentences
- Articulation is poor so "can't assess speech perception"




# LESSON \#3: FOUR FREQUENCIES IS NOT THE ENTIRE SPEECH SPECTRUM 

## Child E:


$3^{\text {rd }}$ opinion

|  | Unaided <br> SRT <br> (open <br> set) | Unaided <br> NU-Chips <br> at <br> 105 dBHL <br> (open set) | Aided <br> SRT <br> (open <br> set) | Aided NU- <br> Chips at <br> 50 dBHL <br> (open <br> set) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Right | 105 dB | $24 \%$ | CNT at <br> 65 dB | CNT |
| Left | 105 dB | $48 \%$ | 50 dB | $0 \%$ |

Mom received call on way to $3^{\text {rd }}$ center that EVA was present bilaterally

## Meet Child E

- $3^{\text {rd }}$ opinion center:
- Recommended bilateral Cls
- Decision:
- Sequential Cls vs Simultaneous Cls
- Parents chose simultaneous
- At 3.9 years, patient simultaneously bilaterally implanted
- $1^{\text {st }} 6$ weeks were very difficult


## Meet Child E

6 months post Cl

|  | Right HA | Right Cl | Left HA | Left CI | Bilateral Cls |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aided SRT <br> (open set) | CNT at <br> $65 d B$ | 30 dB | 50 dB | 35 dB |  |
| Aided NU- <br> Chips @ <br> 50dB (open <br> set) | CNT | $64 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $76 \%$ |  |
| Aided NU- <br> Chips @ <br> 35dB (open <br> set) |  |  |  |  |  |
| HINT-C <br> (in quiet) |  |  |  |  | $48 \%$ |

## Meet Child E

- Language progress:
- By 3 months post implant, child had made 6 months' language growth from preimplant levels
- By 6 months post implant, child had made 1 year's language growth
- By 12 months post implant, child had made 2 years' language growth
- Parents delayed Kindergarten entrance for 1 year; child is fully mainstreamed

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { LESSON \#4: } \\
\text { ACCESS TO SOFT } \\
\text { SPEECH FOSTERS } \\
\text { INCIDENTAL LEARNING }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { LESSON \#5: } \\
\text { ACCESS TO } \\
\text { INTELLIGIBLE SPEECH } \\
\text { GROWS LANGUAGE } \\
\text { AND BRAINS }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Summary

- Everyone with a hearing loss has the right to hear optimally
- Perception is much, much, much more than 6 Ling sounds or LMH 10
- Assessment of perception should grow with the child
- There is no such thing as "good enough"
- If a child is not hearing wonderfully, something is wrong. Do not just accept it. Technology is the most likely problem
- Perception can be improved with programming changes

■ Only after you rule out technology as the problem can you start to direct the concern to therapy or family

■ Everyone on the team (including family) needs to work together to achieve success.

## IT'S HERE!!!

A unique resource for helping children and adults with hearing loss develop listening and spoken language as the foundation for cognition, literacy, and educational advancement

Substantia neurobiological evidence indicates hearing is the most effective sensory modality for developing
 the learning potential of children with hearing loss by yurturincth the auditory yrain development necessary to help
them learn to listen and talk, as well as heliping adults build stronger listening skills.

Six sections and 22 chapters cover the spectrum of comprehensive listening and spoken language intervention for all age groups (including adults) and for the professionals working with them. Topicis include literacy, executive
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experienced dlinicicans develop effective therapeutic and educational plans and encourage caregivers to becom experienced clinicians develop effective the erapeutic and educational plans and encourage caregivers to become

Key Features

- Reader-fien
- Reader-friendly chapters with summaries, key points, pearls, and pitfalls facilitate learning
- Case studies assist lilicicins in applying chapter information - A ealth of LSL resource,
to expand knowledge
- Videos offer examples of hearing evaluation of infants and young children and speech perception testing, (Ling-Madell-Hewitt) Test Battery.
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deaf education, and an invaluable resource for new and experienced professionals and the caregivers with whom deay work.
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