Hearing Screening Methods and Outcomes for Infants Born to **COVID-Positive Mothers at a Large Academic Medical Center**

Brittany C. Day¹, Erin M. Picou¹, Lisa Bastarache², Anne Marie Tharpe¹

Introduction

COVID-19 disease has been associated with auditory system dysfunction (Mustafa, 2020; Celik et al., 2021). Yet, studies with small samples indicate maternal COVID-19 is not a risk factor for newborn hearing loss (Alan & Alan, 2021; Oskovi-Kaplan et al., 2022).

Purpose

- to evaluate risk of hearing screening failure among newborns born in a large academic medical center to mothers with COVID-19 infection at the time of birth;
- to evaluate the frequency of hearing screening failure when remote testing procedures were in place due to isolation precautions in the nursery

Methods

- Retrospective chart review of newborns discharged from Vanderbilt University Medical Center well baby nursery between April 2020 and September 2021
 - (n = 6022);
- Compared rates of initial inpatient automated ABR hearing screening failures:
 - for babies born to mothers with (n = 135) and without (n = 5887) positive COVID-19 test within 4 days of delivery;
 - for remote screen procedures (n = 62) that occurred early in the pandemic and in-room screen procedures (n = 73) later in the pandemic for babies born to mothers with positive COVID-19 test;
- Explored additional variables related to failing initial inpatient hearing screening;
- Compared final ABR screening outcomes for babies born to mothers with and without a positive COVID-19 test.





Figure 1. Initial inpatient hearing screening rates for each outcome early in the pandemic, when screeners were not in the hospital room for the positive group (April – December 2020) and late in the pandemic when screeners were in the hospital room for the positive group (January – September 2021).

Factor	Coefficient	Odds Ratio	95% CI Odds Ratio	<i>p</i> value
Age (in hours)	-0.02	.975	.94 to 1.01	.194
Estimated gestational age	0.005	1.01	.95 to 1.06	.859
Gender (male)	0.55	1.73	.69 to 4.47	.250
Birth weight (kg)	-1.18	0.31	.09 to .93	.047





Figure 2. Final screening outcomes (final result for an inpatient and/or outpatient hearing screening) for all babies born between April 2020 and September 2021.

¹Department of Hearing and Speech Sciences, Vanderbilt University Medical Center; ²Department of Biomedical Informatics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center

Initial Result

Results

Conclusions

References https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jporl.2021.110754 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjoto.2021.102982 Mustafa, M. (2020). Am J Otolaryngol, 41(3), 102483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjoto.2020.102483 https://doi.org/10.1097/AUD.00000000001167



MEDICAL CENTER

Figure 1 shows initial hearing screening failure rates were highest in 2020 (p < 0.001) and for the babies born to mothers with COVID-19 (p <0.0001), regardless of screening type. Binomial regression analysis (Table 1) shows a significant effect of birthweight on hearing screen failure for babies of COVID positive mothers. Babies who passed: M = 3.26 kg Babies who did not pass: M = 3.08 kg

Note: babies born to mothers without COVID-19 were generally larger (3.3 kg) and weight did not differ by initial test result.

False positive rates were calculated based on outpatient hearing tests (Figure 2).

• Only 1 baby born to a mother with COVID-19 has confirmed hearing loss.

False positive rates were similar for babies born to mothers with and without COVID-19.

• Relative to babies born to COVID negative mothers, babies born to COVID positive mothers at birth: • are more likely to fail the initial hearing screening, regardless of screening method. are not more likely to have a final screening outcome of fail.

• Although a higher fail rate was found in babies screened with remote screening early in the

pandemic, 97% of these babies born to COVID positive mothers received a screening and 89% ultimately passed prior to hospital discharge, thus saving families an outpatient clinic visit during the pandemic and maintaining compliance with state screening laws and JCIH 1-3-6 benchmarks.

