## Strategies for Identifying Risk Factors for Hearing Loss

Patricia Purcell, MD MPH, Mott Children's Hospital, U. of Michigan Karin Neidt, MPH, Washington State Dept of Health Katie Kuboushek, AuD, Mott Children's Hospital, U. of Michigan Dylan K. Chan, MD PhD, UCSF Benioff Children's Hospitals



## Financial Disclosure

• None relevant to this presentation



Over 98% of newborns are screened for hearing loss at birth.



2019 Summary of National CDC EHDI Data

Over 98% of newborns are screened for hearing loss at birth About 98% of newborns will pass their hearing screen...



2019 Summary of National CDC EHDI Data

Over 98% of newborns are screened for hearing loss at birth About 98% of newborns will pass their hearing screen...



They may not be screened again until starting school.

Over 98% of newborns are screened for hearing loss at birth About 98% of newborns will pass their hearing screen...



**Critical Period for Language Development** 

Who is at risk?

- Minimal hearing loss
- Frequency specific
- Late-onset
- Progressive



How do we decide which children to monitor during the "gap" period?

### JCIH Risk Indicators for Hearing Loss

- Family History of HL
- NICU stay >5 days
- Hyperbilirubinemia requiring exchange transfusion
- Aminoglycoside administration >5 days
- Significant neonatal hypoxia
- ECMO
- In-utero infections (TORCH)
- Congenital CMV
- Craniofacial anomalies
- Neonatal meningitis

## JCIH Risk Indicators for Hearing Loss

- Family History of HL
- NICU stay >5 days
- Hyperbilirubinemia requiring exchange transfusion
- Aminoglycoside administration >5 days
- Significant neonatal hypoxia
- ECMO
- In-utero infections (TORCH)
- Congenital CMV
- Craniofacial anomalies
- Neonatal meningitis

DON'T FORGET: <u>Parent/Caregiver</u> Concern

### Strategies for Risk Factor Identification

- Karin Neidt: Washington EHDDI Database
- Katie Kuboushek: U of Michigan Electronic Medical Record
- Dylan Chan: Parent/Caregiver Concern

## Washington State EHDDI Profile

- 84,000 births
- No mandate for screening or reporting
- System is linked with the Newborn Screening program
- Collects hearing screening and risk factor information on hearing screening card attached to blood spot card



# Hearing screening/risk factor information data collection



## **Risk Factor Information Collected**

- 1 NICUstay > 5 days
- 2 Syndromic stigmata
- 3 Family history
- 4 Craniofacial anomalies
- 5 In-utero infection

Screet (plea	eener ase pr	Initials: int)				
	(Se 1	Risk F e Defini 2	actors tions on 3	Preser Back of 4	nt Card) 5	
		0 - N	o Risk	Facto	rs	

## EHDDI follow-up for risk factors

Risk factor indicated	Child's age when provider is faxed	Follow-up recommendations
NICU	Provider is not faxed	-
Syndrome Family history Craniofacial anomaly	150 days	Diagnostic evaluation before 9 months of age
In-utero infection	30 days	<ul> <li>Diagnostic evaluation</li> <li>before: <ul> <li>3 months of age for</li> <li>CMV and</li> </ul> </li> <li>9 months for other infections</li> </ul>

## Reporting by audiologists

- Audiologists can report more detailed risk factor information related to:
  - Caregiver concern
  - Family history
  - Maternal history (infections)
  - Patient history
  - Neonatal indicators
  - Craniofacial anomalies
  - Syndromes

## Challenges

- Difficult for hospital screening staff to ascertain risk factor status
- Risk factor information is not always accurately reported by screening staff
  - Family history often over reported
  - Children with oral clefts are often not reported
- Only able to share broad risk factor information with providers (1-5)
- Lack of resources for EHDDI program to ensure infants with risk factors receive audiological evaluation

## U of Michigan Health EHDI Tracking Methods







2000-2016 present 2016-2020

2020-

## The great flowsheet build of 2020

Newborn Hearing Screen		<b>†</b> ‡
Time taken: 2/25/2022	0846 🕖 🖁 Responsible 🗌 Show Row Info 🗹 Show Last Filed Value 🗋 Sh	ow Details
<ul> <li>Newborn Hearing Screet</li> </ul>	reening Report	
<ul> <li>Newborn Hearing Screen</li> </ul>		
Hearing Screen Date	v 225/2022 🗇	
Hearing Test Status	Ready         Needs Audiology         Getting Close         Not Ready         <34 weeks         Hearing Aids         Complete         Transferred to OSH         Tested Elsewhere         Hearing screen completed previous admission	
Audiology Tech	T Donna Neweil Louise Haire Quinday Cooper Leslie Hartman Katie Kuboushek Jennifer Wilcox Outside Hospital	
Delivery Method	Vaginal c-section unknown	
Unit	W MB SCC	
Hearing Screen Results	V Pass Bilateral Refer Bilateral Refer Unilateral	
Hearing Screen Left Ear AABR	₹ passed referred incomplete missed passed at OSH refer at OSH other (see comments)	
Hearing Screen Right Ear AABR	The passed referred incomplete missed passed at OSH refer at OSH other (see comments)	
Risk indicator for hearing loss requiring three month monitoring:	Bacterial meningitis     CMV	
Risk indicators for hearing loss requiring six month	T Anomalies involving the pinna, ear canal, or temporal bone Bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) Congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) Cleft lip and/or palate Craniofacial anomalies	
monitoring:	Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) Family history of permanent childhood hearing loss Hyperbilirubinemia at serum level requiring exchange transfusion	
	In-utero infection (Rubella, HSV, Syphillis, Toxoplasmosis) Mechanical ventilation greater or equal to 14 days Oto-toxic medications greater than or equal to 7 days	
	Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn (PPHN) Syndrome associated with hearing loss	
Recommendations:	V No further testing indicated at this time Hearing screening test within one month. Infant was not tested prior to discharge.	
	Follow-up diagnostic audiologic testing as soon as possible. Infant did not pass hearing screening.	
	Follow-up audiologic monitoring at three month intervals through 18 months of age and at six month intervals until school age. Infant is at significant risk for delayed-onset or progressive hearing loss.	
	Follow-up audiologic testing at six-month intervals until preschool hearing screening by Health Department. Infant is at risk for delayed-onset or progressive hearing loss.	
	Hearing will be re-screened prior to discharge Patient to follow-up closer to home	
Comments		
Late of Appointment		
reason why	Varent Declined Uther (comment)	
Follow-Up Testing	Follow-Up Diagnostic Hearing Screen CMV	
M Restore Close	★ Cancel	Next

## The great flowsheet build of 2020

Follow-Up Testing	V	Follow-Up Diagnostic Hearing So	reen	С	MV				
✓ Follow-Up Diagr	nostic Hearin	g Test Results							
Test Used	7	ABR DPOAE Behavioral Au	diogram	1					
Facility	V	Michigan Medicine							
Dx Audiologist	V	Katie Kuboushek							
Right Ear Result	V	WNL Abnormal							
Right Thresholds de	B eHL								
500 Hz	1000 Hz	2000 Hz	4000	) Hz	Click				
۳ 20	۳ 20	۳ 20	7	20	۳ 20				
Left Ear Result	V	WNL Abnormal							
Left Thresholds dB e	eHL								
500 Hz	1000 Hz	2000 Hz	400	0 Hz	Click				
٣ 20	٣ 20	۳ 20		20	۳ 20				
Discharge									
Discharge Reasons	5 V	PRN			Returned for testi	ng and passed, no follow-up needed	Returned for testing and passed, but have risk indicators		
		Failed to arrive for seve	ral appo	ointments	Dx co	mplete defer to MANAUD			
Discharge Done	V	Done							
Follow Up Date	Υ.	6/15/2022		Ċ.	]				
I Restore	<ul> <li>Close</li> </ul>	× Cancel						1 Previous	↓ Next

## Current utilization

- State reporting (outpatient and inpatient)
- Risk indicators
- Tracking new id's
- Lost to follow-up tracking
- CMV tracking

## Future utilization

Early phase of transferring existing data into artificial intelligence system.

- Informed decision making
- Better treatment outcomes
- Improve lost to follow-up rates
- Identify unknown risk indicators

## Example: Data in action

What is the rate of permanent hearing loss among premature newborns with lung disease (bronchopulmonary dysplasia)?

- Babies born 2013-2019
- Identify all with bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD)
- Check newborn hearing screening results
- Review all available audiograms

## **BPD: Newborn Hearing Screening**



## **BPD: Hearing Outcomes**



### Later childhood hearing loss JCIH risk factors

#### Table 1

Risk Factors for Early Childhood Hearing Loss: Guidelines for Infants who Pass the Newborn Hearing Screen

	Risk Factor Classification	Recommended Diagnostic Follow-up	Monitoring Frequency	
	Perinatal			
1	Family history* of early, progressive, or delayed onset permanent childhood hearing loss	by 9 months	Based on etiology of family hearing loss and caregiver concern	
2	Neonatal intensive care of more than 5 days	by 9 months		
3	Hyperbilirubinemia with exchange transfusion regardless of length of stay	by 9 months	As per concerns of on-going surveillance of	
4	Aminoglycoside administration for more than 5 days**	by 9 months	nearing skills and speech milestones	
5	Asphyxia or Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy	by 9 months		
6	Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO)*	No later than 3 months after occurrence	Every 12 months to school age or at shorter intervals based on concerns of parent or provider	
7	In utero infections, such as herpes, rubella, syphilis, and toxoplasmosis	by 9 months	As per concerns of on-going surveillance	
	In utero infection with cytomegalovirus (CMV)*	No later than 3 months after occurrence	Every 12 months to age 3 or at shorter intervals based on parent/provider concerns	
	Mother + Zika and infant with <u>no</u> laboratory evidence & no clinical findings	standard	As per AAP (2017) Periodicity schedule	
	Mother + Zika and infant with laboratory evidence of Zika + clinical findings Mother + Zika and infant with laboratory evidence of Zika - clinical findings	AABR by 1 month AABR by 1 month	ABR by 4-6 months or VRA by 9 months ABR by 4-6 months Montror as per AAR (2017) Periodicity	
			schedule (Adebanjo et al., 2017)	
8	Certain birth conditions or findings: • Craniofacial malformations including microtia/atresia, ear dysplasia, oral facial clefting, white forelock, and microphthalmia • Congenital microcephaly, congenital or acquired hydrocephalus • Temporal bone abnormalities	by 9 months	As per concerns of on-going surveillance of hearing skills and speech milestones	
9	Over 400 syndromes have been identified with atypical hearing thresholds***. For more information, visit the Hereditary Hearing Loss website (Van Camp & Smith, 2016)	by 9 months	According to natural history of syndrome or concerns	
	Perinatal or Postnatal			
10	Culture-positive infections associated with sensorineural hearing loss***, including confirmed bacterial and viral (especially herpes viruses and varicella) meningitis or encephalitis	No later than 3 months after occurrence	Every 12 months to school age or at shorter intervals based on concerns of parent or provider	
11	Events associated with hearing loss: • Significant head trauma especially basal skull/temporal bone fractures • Chemotherapy	No later than 3 months after occurrence	According to findings and or continued concerns	
12	Caregiver concern**** regarding hearing, speech, language, developmental delay and or developmental regression	Immediate referral	According to findings and or continued concerns	

Note. AAP = American Academy of Pediatrics; ABR = auditory brainstem response; AABR = automated auditory brainstem response.

\* Infants at increased risk of delayed onset or progressive hearing loss

\*\*Infants with toxic levels or with a known genetic susceptibility remain at risk

\*\*\*Syndromes (Van Camp & Smith, 2016)

\*\*\*\*Parental/caregiver concern should always prompt further evaluation.

Journal of Early Hearing Detection and Intervention, 4(2), 1-44. DOI: 10.15142/fptk-b748 https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/jehdi/vol4/iss2/1/

### Later childhood hearing loss JCIH risk factors

#### Table 1

Risk Factors for Early Childhood Hearing Loss: Guidelines for Infants who Pass the Newborn Hearing Screen

		Risk Factor Classification	Recommended Diagnostic Follow-up	Monitoring Frequency	
		Perinatal			
	1	Family history* of early, progressive, or delayed onset permanent childhood hearing los.		Based on etiology of family hearing loss and caregiver concern	
Parent of	2	caregiver concer	nrega	arding hearli	ng, speecn,
		Hyperbilirubinemia with exchange transfusion regardless of length	by 9 menths	nontal dolav	
	4		GIOPT	nentai delay.	•
		Asphyxia or Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy			
	6	Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO)*	No later than 3 months after occurrence	Every 12 months to school age or at shorter intervals based on concerns of parent or provider	
		In utero infections, such as <b>Increasing V</b>	olume		
		In utero infection with cytomegalovirus (CMV)* Speakin	No later than 3 months	Every 12 months to age 3 or at shorter intervals	
		Mother + Zika and infant with <u>no</u> laboratory evidence & no clinical findings		As per AAP (2017) Periodicity schedule	
		Mother + Zika and infant with laboratory evidence Vale-clinical S	stermig		
		findings	ttentior	Monitor as per AAP (2017) Periodicity schedule (Adebanjo et al., 2017)	
	8	Certain birth conditions or findings: • Craniofacial malformations including massing and the second dysplasia, oral facial clefting, white foreits and the second dysplasia, oral facial clefting, white foreits and the second dysplasia or acquired hydrocephalus of Temporal bone abnormalities	h dela	As per concerns of on-going surveillance of the ring skills and speech milestones	
	9	Over 400 syndromes have been identified with atypical hearing thresholds***. For more information, visit the Hereditary Hearing Loss website (Van Camp & Smith, 2016)			
		Perinatal or Postnatal			
	10	Culture-positive infections associated with sensorineural hearing loss***, including confirmed bacterial and viral (especially herpes viruses and varicella) meningitis or encephalitis	No later than 3 months after occurrence	Every 12 months to school age or at shorter intervals based on concerns of parent or provider	
	11	Events associated with hearing • Significant head trauma espect the strategy of the strategy			
	12	Caregiver concern**** regarding hearing, speech, language, developmental delay and or developmental regression	Immediate referral	According to findings and or continued concerns	

Note. AAP = American Academy of Pediatrics; ABR = auditory brainstem response; AABR = automated auditory brainstem response.

\* Infants at increased risk of delayed onset or progressive hearing loss

\*\*Infants with toxic levels or with a known genetic susceptibility remain at risk

\*\*\*Syndromes (Van Camp & Smith, 2016)

\*\*\*\*Parental/caregiver concern should always prompt further evaluation.

Journal of Early Hearing Detection and Intervention, 4(2), 1-44. DOI: 10.15142/fptk-b748 https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/jehdi/vol4/iss2/1/

### Later childhood hearing loss JCIH risk factors

#### Table 1

Risk Factors for Early Childhood Hearing Loss: Guidelines for Infants who Pass the Newborn Hearing Screen

	Risk Factor Classification	Recommended Diagnostic Follow-up	Monitoring Frequency
1		by 9 months	
2		by 9 months	
	Hyperbilirubinemia with exchange transfusion regardless of length of stay	by 9 months	As per concerns of on-going surveillance of
4			
	Asphyxia or Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy	by 9 months	
6	Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO)*	No later than 3 months after occurrence	Every 12 months to school age or at shorter intervals based on concerns of parent or provide
		by 9 months	
	In utero infection with cytomegalovirus (CMV)*		

### How reliable is parent/caregiver concern?

	Mother + Zika and infant with laboratory evidence of Zika + clinical findings	AABR by 1 month	ABR by 4-6 months or VRA by 9 months
	Mother + Zika and infant with laboratory evidence of Zika - clinical	AABR by 1 month	ABR by 4-6 months
			Monitor as per AAP (2017) Periodicity schedule (Adebanjo et al., 2017)
8	Certain birth conditions or findings: • Craniofacial malformations including microtia/atresia, ear dysplasia, oral facial clefting, white forelock, and microphthalmia • Congenital microcephaly, congenital or acquired hydrocephalus • Temporal bone abnormalities		As per concerns of on-going surveillance of hearing skills and speech milestones
9	Over 400 syndromes have been identified with atypical hearing thresholds***. For more information, visit the Hereditary Hearing Loss website (Van Camp & Smith, 2016)		
11	Events associated with hearing loss: • Significant head trauma especially basal skull/temporal bone fractures • Chemotherapy		
12			

Note. AAP = American Academy of Pediatrics; ABR = auditory brainstem response; AABR = automated auditory brainstem response.

\* Infants at increased risk of delayed onset or progressive hearing loss

\*\*Infants with toxic levels or with a known genetic susceptibility remain at risk

\*\*Syndromes (Van Camp & Smith, 2016)

\*\*\*\*Parental/caregiver concern should always prompt further evaluation.

Journal of Early Hearing Detection and Intervention, 4(2), 1-44. DOI: 10.15142/fptk-b748 https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/jehdi/vol4/iss2/1/

### Parent concern SNHL



Sensitivity of parental suspicion preceding diagnosis of permanent hearing loss in childhood. Mild or moderate hearing loss (--), severe or profound hearing loss (-), and unilateral hearing loss  $(\cdot \cdot \cdot)$ .

- 169 children with SNHL
- Parental suspicion highly insensitive to identifying hearing loss

	Children with average PTA Thresholds >25 dB ( <i>n</i> =17)	Children with average PTA Thresholds $\leq 25$ dB (n=259)	
Parental suspicion of hearing loss (n=28)	2	26	PPV 7.1%
Parental perception of no hearing loss $(n=248)$	15	233	NPV 94.0%
	Sensitivity 11.8%	Specificity 90.0%	

- 276 children with concern for middle-ear effusion and hearing loss
- Parental concern for hearing loss:

Sensitivity = 11.8%

### Sensitivity:

What percentage of children WITH hearing loss had hearing loss suspected by the parents?

	Children with average PTA Thresholds >25 dB ( <i>n</i> =17)	Children with average PTA Thresholds $\leq 25$ dB (n=259)	
Parental suspicion of hearing loss $(n=28)$ Parental perception of no hearing loss $(n=248)$	2 15	26 233	PPV 7.1% NPV 94.0%
	Sensitivity 11.8%	Specificity 90.0%	

- 276 children with concern for middle-ear effusion and hearing loss
- Parental concern for hearing loss:

Sensitivity = 11.8% Specificity = 90%

### Specificity:

What percentage of children WITHOUT hearing loss were accurately thought to not have hearing loss by their parents?

	Children with average PTA Thresholds >25 dB ( <i>n</i> =17)	Children with average PTA Thresholds ≤25 dB ( <i>n</i> =259)	
Parental suspicion of hearing loss $(n=28)$ Parental perception of no hearing loss $(n=248)$	2 15 Sensitivity 11.8%	26 233 Specificity 90.0%	PPV 7.1% NPV 94.0%

### Positive predictive value:

What percentage of parents who thought their children had hearing loss were correct?

- 276 children with concern for middle-ear effusion and hearing loss
- Parental concern for hearing loss:

```
Sensitivity = 11.8%
Specificity = 90%
PPV = 7%
```

	Children with average PTA Thresholds >25 dB (n=17)	Children with average PTA Thresholds ≤25 dB ( <i>n</i> =259)	
Parental suspicion of hearing loss $(n=28)$ Parental perception of no hearing loss $(n=248)$	2 15 Sensitivity 11.8%	26 233 Specificity 90.0%	PPV 7.1% NPV 94.0%

### Negative predictive value:

What percentage of parents who thought their children did NOT have hearing loss were correct?

- 276 children with concern for middle-ear effusion and hearing loss
- Parental concern for hearing loss:

```
Sensitivity = 11.8%
Specificity = 90%
PPV = 7%
NPV = 94%
```

	Children with average PTA Thresholds >25 dB ( <i>n</i> =17)	Children with average PTA Thresholds $\leq 25$ dB (n=259)	
Parental suspicion of hearing loss $(n=28)$ Parental perception of no hearing loss $(n=248)$	2 15 Sensitivity 11.8%	26 233 Specificity 90.0%	PPV 7.1% NPV 94.0%

### Odds ratio:

If a parent thinks their child had hearing loss, what is the chance that they actually have hearing loss, compared to kids whose parents DON'T think they have hearing loss?

- 276 children with concern for middle-ear effusion and hearing loss
- Parental concern for hearing loss:

```
Sensitivity = 11.8%
Specificity = 90%
PPV = 7%
NPV = 94%
Odds ratio = 1.2
```

	Children with average PTA Thresholds >25 dB (n=17)	Children with average PTA Thresholds $\leq 25$ dB ( <i>n</i> =259)	
Parental suspicion of hearing loss $(n=28)$ Parental perception of no hearing loss $(n=248)$	2 15 Sensitivity 11.8%	26 233 Specificity 90.0%	PPV 7.1% NPV 94.0%

Parental suspicion very poorly correlated with actual hearing status

- 276 children with concern for middle-ear effusion and hearing loss
- Parental concern for hearing loss:

```
Sensitivity = 11.8%
Specificity = 90%
PPV = 7%
NPV = 94%
Odds ratio = 1.2
```

### Caregiver concern Speech/Hearing/Language

Variable	Referral	No referral	OR (95% CI)	P value <sup>a</sup>
Referred screening				
Speech concern				
Yes	36	64	0.7 (0.4.4.4.0)	<.001
No	367	6353	9.7 (6.4-14.8)	
Hearing concern				
Yes	28	32	1.1.1 (0.0.25.0)	<.001
No	375	6385	14.1 (8.9-25.0)	
Language concern				
Yes	27	35	12 1 (7 0 21 0)	<.001
No	376	6382	13.1 (7.8-21.9)	
CHL	CHL	No CHL	NA	NA
Speech concern				
Yes	21	100		
No	174	6720	8.1 (5.0-13.3)	<.001
Hearing concern				
Yes	15	45		<.001
No	180	6580	12.2 (6.7-22.3)	
Language concern				
Yes	15	47		<.001
No	180	6578	11.7 (6.4-21.3)	
SNHL	SNHL	No SNHL	NA	NA
Speech concern				
Yes	1	99		.062
No	12	6708	5.7 (0.7-43.8)	
Hearing concern				
Yes	2	58		<.001
No	11	6749	21.2 (4.6-97.6)	
Language concern				
Yes	1	61		
No	12	6746	9.2 (1.2-72.0)	<.001

- Study of 6820 low-income preschoolers undergoing two-stage, single-visit pure tone audiometry/OAE hearing screening
- 99.6% screening success; 86% follow-up rate
- Teacher concern for language delay:

Sensitivity = 8.2% Specificity = 99.3% PPV = 28.3% NPV = 97.1% Odds ratio = 13.4

Brodie and David et al. (2022), JAMA-Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery

### Caregiver concern Speech/Hearing/Language

/ariable	Referral	No referral	OR (95% CI)	P value <sup>a</sup>
Referred screening				
Speech concern				
Yes	36	64	07(64140)	<.001
No	367	6353	9.7 (6.4-14.8)	
Hearing concern				
Yes	28	32	1 ( 1 ( 2 0 25 0)	<.001
No	375	6385	14.1 (8.9-25.0)	
Language concern				
Yes	27	35	12 1 (7 0 21 0)	<.001
No	376	6382	13.1 (7.8-21.9)	
CHL	CHL	No CHL	NA	NA
Speech concern				
Yes	21	100	0.1 (5.0.12.2)	<.001
No	174	6720	8.1 (5.0-13.3)	
Hearing concern				
Yes	15	45		<.001
No	180	6580	12.2 (6.7-22.3)	
Language concern				
Yes	15	47	11.7 (6.4-21.3)	<.001
No	180	6578		
SNHL	SNHL	No SNHL	NA	NA
Speech concern				
Yes	1	99	5 7 (0 7 42 0)	.062
No	12	6708	5.7 (0.7-43.8)	
Hearing concern				
Yes	2	58		<.001
No	11	6749	21.2 (4.6-97.6)	
Language concern				
Yes	1	61	9.2 (1.2-72.0)	
No	12	6746		<.001

Teacher concern associated with 13x greater rate of hearing loss

Listen to teachers/caregivers!

Brodie and David et al. (2022), JAMA-Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery

### Caregiver concern Speech/Hearing/Language

Variable	Referral	No referral	OR (95% CI)	P value <sup>a</sup>
Referred screening				
Speech concern				
Yes	36	64	07(64140)	<.001
No	367	6353	9.7 (6.4-14.8)	
Hearing concern				
Yes	28	32	1 4 1 (0 0 0 0 0)	<.001
No	375	6385	14.1 (8.9-25.0)	
Language concern				
Yes	27	35	12.1 (7.0.21.0)	<.001
No	376	6382	13.1 (7.8-21.9)	
CHL	CHL	No CHL	NA	NA
Speech concern				
Yes	21	100		<.001
No	174	6720	8.1 (5.0-13.3)	
Hearing concern				
Yes	15	45		<.001
No	180	6580	12.2 (6.7-22.3)	
Language concern				
Yes	15	47	11.7 (6.4-21.3)	<.001
No	180	6578		
SNHL	SNHL	No SNHL	NA	NA
Speech concern				
Yes	1	99	5 7 (0 7 42 0)	.062
No	12	6708	5.7 (0.7-43.8)	
Hearing concern				
Yes	2	58		<.001
No	11	6749	21.2 (4.6-97.6)	
Language concern				
Yes	1	61	9.2 (1.2-72.0)	<.001
No	12	6746		

Teacher concern associated with 13x greater rate of hearing loss

Listen to teachers/caregivers!

(But, also listen to parents)

Brodie and David et al. (2022), JAMA-Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery

## In Summary

The hearing screening gap occurs during the critical period for language development.

Risk indicators can help us to identify which children to monitor during the gap period.

There are state-level and institutional-level models for risk indicator identification and monitoring.

Don't forget the importance of parent/caregiver concern!